Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume x, No. x, (Month 201y), pp. 1–xx

ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version) ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version)

http://www.afmi.or.kr

© Research Institute for Basic Science, Wonkwang University http://ribs.wonkwang.ac.kr

Two notes on "On soft Hausdorff spaces"

M. E. EL-Shafei, M. Abo-Elhamayel, T. M. Al-shami

Received 27 May 2018; Revised 12 July 2018; Accepted 3 August 2018

ABSTRACT. One of the well known results in general topology says that every compact subset of a Hausdorff space is closed. This result in soft topology is not true in general as demonstrated throughout this note. We begin this investigation by showing that [Theorem 3.34, p.p.23] which proposed by Varol and Aygün [7] is invalid in general, by giving a counterexample. Then we derive under what condition this result can be generalized in soft topology. Finally, we evidence that [Example 3.22, p.p. 20] which introduced in [7] is false, and we make a correction for this example to satisfy a condition of soft Hausdorffness.

2010 AMS Classification: 54D10, 54D30

Keywords: Soft set, Soft closed set, Soft Hausdorff and soft compact space.

Corresponding Author: T. M. Al-shami (tareqalshami83@gmail.com)

1. Introduction

To handle problems which contain uncertainties and vagueness, Molodtsov [4] in 1999, proposed a new mathematical tool, namely soft sets. Shabir and Naz [6] in 2011, formulated the concept of soft topological spaces based on the soft sets notion. They initiated some soft topological notions such as some soft operators and soft separation axioms. In [2], the authors introduced a concept of soft compactness and investigated main features. Zorlutuna et al. [8] gave a notion of soft points and then the authors [3, 5] modified this notion in order to investigate some results related to soft limit points and soft metric spaces.

In 2013, Varol and Aygün [7] characterized a soft Hausdorff space and discussed some results related to it. However, they made two errors, as we observe, the first one was in [Theorem 3.34, p.p. 23] which investigated a relationship between soft closed set and soft compact soft Hausdorff space. To show this mistake, we provide a counterexample and then we present the correct form of this result. The second error was in [Example 3.22, p.p. 20]. We explain why this example did not satisfy the

second axiom of a soft topology, hence it is not a soft Hausdorff space. To remove an error of this example, we consider the given collection as a soft base. This treatment constructs a soft topology satisfying a soft T_2 -axiom.

2. Preliminaries

In what follows, we recall some definitions which will be needed throughout this investigation.

Definition 2.1 ([4]). A pair (G, A) is said to be a soft set over X, if G is a mapping of A into 2^X .

Definition 2.2 ([1]). The relative complement of a soft set (G, A), denoted by (G^c, A) , where $G^c: A \to 2^X$ is the mapping defined by $G^c(a) = X \setminus G(a)$, for each $a \in A$.

Definition 2.3 ([6]). For a soft set (G, A) over X and $x \in X$, we say that:

- (i) $x \in (G, A)$, if $x \in G(a)$, for each $a \in A$,
- (ii) $x \notin (G, A)$, if $x \notin G(a)$, for some $a \in A$.

Definition 2.4 ([6]). A collection τ of soft sets over a non-empty set X with a fixed set of parameters A is called a soft topology on X, if it satisfies the following three axioms:

- (i) the null soft set $\widetilde{\Phi}$ and the absolute soft set \widetilde{X} are members of τ ,
- (ii) the union of an arbitrary number of soft sets in τ is also a member of τ ,
- (iii) the intersection of a finite number of soft sets in τ is also a member of τ . The triple (X, τ, A) is called a soft topological space. Each soft set in τ is called soft open and its relative complement is called soft closed.

Definition 2.5 ([2]). (i) A family $\{(G_i, A) : i \in I\}$ of soft open sets is called a soft open cover of (X, τ, A) , if $\widetilde{X} = \bigcup_{i \in I} (G_i, A)$.

- (ii) A soft topological space (X, τ, A) is called soft compact (resp. soft Lindelöf), provided that every soft open cover of \widetilde{X} has a finite (resp. countable) subcover.
- (iii)]A family \mathcal{B} of soft open subsets of (X, τ, A) is called a soft base of τ if every member of τ can be expressed as a union of members of \mathcal{B} .

Definition 2.6 ([3, 5]). A soft subset (P, A) of \widetilde{X} is called soft point, if there is $a \in A$ and there is $x \in X$ satisfies that $P(a) = \{x\}$ and $P(e) = \emptyset$, for each $e \in A \setminus \{a\}$. A soft point will be shortly denoted by P_a^x .

Definition 2.7 ([6]). A soft topological space (X, τ, A) is said to be a soft T_2 -space (or a soft Hausdorff space), if for every $x \neq y \in X$, there are two disjoint soft open sets (G, A) and (F, A) such that $x \in (G, A)$ and $y \in (F, A)$.

3. Main Results

In [7], the authors introduced the following result which is numbered as Theorem 3.34 in their paper.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, τ, A) be a soft Hausdorff space. If (F, A) is soft compact subset of \widetilde{X} , then (F, A) is soft closed.

The following example illustrates that the above theorem need not be true in general.

Example 3.2. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2\}$ be a set of parameters and let $X = \{x, y\}$ be the universe set. Then a collection $\tau = \{\widetilde{\Phi}, \widetilde{X}, (G, A), (H, A)\}$ is a soft topology on X, where

$$G(a_1) = \{x\}, \ G(a_2) = \{x\}$$

and

$$H(a_1) = \{y\}, \ H(a_2) = \{y\}.$$

Obviously, (X, τ, A) is a soft Hausdorff space. On the other hand, a soft set (F, A), which defined as $F(a_1) = \{x\}$, $F(a_2) = \{y\}$, is a soft compact subset of \widetilde{X} . But it is not soft closed.

Remark 3.3. We think that an error of the proof of Theorem 3.34 in [7] attributed to that the authors incorrectly expected that: If for each $x \in (M, A)$ implies that $x \in (N, A)$, then $(M, A) \subseteq (N, A)$. This result is true via the set theory, but it need not be true via the soft set theory. We can show this by taking the two soft subsets $(M, A) = \{(a_1, \{x\}), (a_1, X)\}$ and $(N, A) = \{(a_1, X), (a_1, \{x\})\}$ of (X, τ, A) which given in the above example. Now, every $x \in (M, A)$ implies that $x \in (N, A)$ and every $x \in (N, A)$ implies that $x \in (N, A)$. However $(M, A) \not\subseteq (N, A)$ and $(N, A) \not\subseteq (M, A)$.

We correctly formulate this result via the soft set theory by utilizing a soft point notion as illustrated in the following result.

Proposition 3.4. $(F,A) \subseteq (G,A)$ if and only if for each $P_a^x \in (F,A)$ implies that $P_a^x \in (G,A)$.

Definition 3.5. A soft set (G, A) over X is said to be stable, if there exists a subset U of X such that G(a) = U, for each $a \in A$.

The following result is the correct form of [Theorem 3.34, p.p.23] in [7].

Theorem 3.6. Every stable soft compact subset (F, A) of a soft Hausdorff space (X, τ, A) is soft closed.

Proof. Suppose that (F,A) is a stable soft set. Then (F^c,A) is stable as well. Let $P_a^x \in (F^c,A)$. This means that $x \in (F^c,A)$. Similarly, for each $P_a^{y_i} \in (F,A)$, we get $y_i \in (F,A)$. Thus $x \neq y_i$. By hypothesis, there exist two disjoint soft open sets (G_i,A) and (W_i,A) such that $x \in (G_i,A)$ and $y_i \in (W_i,A)$. It follows that $\{(W_i,A): i \in I\}$ forms a soft open cover of (F,A). Consequently, $(F,A) \subseteq \widetilde{\bigcup}_{i=1}^{i=n}(W_i,A)$. Putting $\widetilde{\bigcap}_{i=1}^{i=n}(G_i,A) = (H,A)$ and $\widetilde{\bigcup}_{i=1}^{i=n}(W_i,A) = (V,A)$. Then (H,A) and (V,A) are soft open sets such that $(H,A) \cap (V,A) = \widetilde{\Phi}$. Thus $(H,A) \cap (F,A) = \widetilde{\Phi}$. So $(H,A) \subseteq (F^c,A)$. Since P_a^x is chosen arbitrary, (F^c,A) is a soft neighborhood of its soft points. Hence it is soft open. Therefore it is soft closed.

In the rest of this work, we point out that [Example 3.22, p.p.20] in [7] is incorrect. We firstly mention this example as it originally introduced in [7].

Example 3.7. Let $X = E = \mathcal{R}$, where \mathcal{R} is the set of real numbers. Consider $(F, E)_y = \{(x, (x, y]) : x, y \in E \text{ and } x < y\}$. Then $\mathcal{T} = \{\widetilde{\Phi}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}, (F, E)_y : y \in E\}$ defined a soft topology on \mathcal{R} . Furthermore, $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{R})$ is a soft Hausdorff space.

To show an error of this example, let $B=\{2-\frac{1}{n}:n=1,2,...\}$. Then $\Lambda=\{(F,E)_y:x=0\text{ and }y\in B\}$ is a collection of soft open sets. However, $\bigcup_{y\in B}(0,(0,y])=(0,(0,2))$. This implies that $\widetilde{\bigcup}_{y\in B}(F,E)_y\notin\mathcal{T}$. So \mathcal{T} which given in the above example is not a soft topology.

We observe that $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ can be expressed as a union of members of \mathcal{T} , and the soft intersection of any two members of \mathcal{T} can be expressed as a union of members of \mathcal{T} as well. So we correct the above example by considering \mathcal{T} as a soft base for a soft topology τ . Then $(\mathcal{R}, \tau, \mathcal{R})$ is a soft Hausdorff space.

Remark 3.8. It worthily noted that a soft topology which has \mathcal{T} as a soft base consider as a version of the upper limit topology via soft topology.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- M. I. Ali, F. Feng, X. Liu, W. K. Min and M. Shabir, On some new operations in soft set theory, Comput. Math. Appl. 57 (2009) 1547–1553.
- [2] A. Aygünoğlu and H. Aygün, Some notes on soft topological spaces, Neural Computers and Applications 21 (2012) 113–119.
- [3] S. Das and S. K. Samanta, Soft metric, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 6 (1) (2013) 77-94.
- [4] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-first results, Comput. Math. Appl. 37 (1999) 19–31.
- [5] S. Nazmul and S. K. Samanta, Neighbourhood properties of soft topological spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 6 (1) (2013) 1–15.
- [6] M. Shabir and M. Naz, On soft topological spaces, Comput. Math. Appl. 61 (2011) 1786–1799.
- [7] B. P. Varol and H. Aygün, On soft Hausdorff spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 5 (1) (2013) 15–24.
- [8] I. Zorlutuna, M. Akdag, W. K. Min and S. Atmaca, Remarks on soft topological spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 3 (2) (2012) 171–185.

M. E. EL-SHAFEI (meshafei@hotmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt

M. ABO-ELHAMAYEL (mohamedaboelhamayel@yahoo.com)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt

T. M. AL-SHAMI (tareqalshami83@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Sana'a University, Sana'a, Yemen